

# Urinary tract infections in intensive care unit of a tertiary care hospital

A Mohankumar<sup>1\*</sup>, J Kaur<sup>2</sup>, M Deb<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Microbiology, PK Das Institute of Medical Sciences, Ottapalam-679522, Kerala, INDIA.

<sup>2</sup>Associate Professor, <sup>3</sup>Professor, Department of Microbiology, Vardhman Mahavir Medical College and Safdarjung Hospital, New Delhi – 110029, INDIA.

Email: [dkdrnogi@gmail.com](mailto:dkdrnogi@gmail.com)

## Abstract

**Introduction:** Nosocomial infections are threat to patients, especially in high-risk areas such as intensive care units (ICU). Urinary tract infections are the most common among them. **Aim:** To study the occurrence, spectrum and antibiotic resistance profile of urinary tract infections in high risk areas. **Methods:** A study was carried out for six months during Feb-July, 2012 in 819 urine samples received from ICU department. All urinary samples were collected under sterile conditions. Culture was done on Blood agar and MacConkey Agar. Isolates were identified by standard biochemical tests. Antibiotic sensitivity testing was done on Muller Hilton Agar (MHA) by disk diffusion method and interpreted using CLSI guidelines. **Results:** Out of 819 urine samples from ICU patients, 93(11.4%) had significant bacteriuria. *Candida spp.* 30(32.3%), was the most common followed by *Enterococcus spp.* 19(20.4%), and *Escherichia coli* 12(12.9%). Nitrofurantoin (66.7%) and Piperacillin-tazobactam (58.3%) was mostly sensitive and second generation Cephalosporins, Ampicillin and Amoxicillin clavulanate were the most resistant among all. ThreeImipenem resistant bacteria were isolated. Above 90% resistance was seen in aminoglycosides and fluroquinolone group of drugs. Vancomycin was resistant in 8(42.1%) isolates of *Enterococcus spp.* whereas all isolates were sensitive to Linezolid. **Conclusion:** The Spectrum of uro-pathogens and their resistant pattern to common antimicrobial agents is changing and must be taken into account when selecting treatment strategies.

**Keywords:** Urinary infection, ICU patients, drug resistance.

## \*Address for Correspondence:

Dr. A. Mohankumar, Assistant Professor, Department of Microbiology, PK Das Institute of Medical Sciences, Ottapalam-679522, Kerala, INDIA.

Email: [dkdrnogi@gmail.com](mailto:dkdrnogi@gmail.com)

Received Date: 05/08/2015 Revised Date: 29/08/2015 Accepted Date: 07/09/2015

## Access this article online

Quick Response Code:



Website:

[www.statperson.com](http://www.statperson.com)

DOI: 02 November  
2015

## INTRODUCTION

Infections of the urinary tract are responsible for about 40% of all hospital acquired infections and constitute a major source for nosocomial septicemia and related mortality in acute care hospitals. It is one of the most common nosocomial infection thus posing a threat to patients admitted in critical care areas of a hospital such as intensive care units (ICU). The vast majority of urinary tract infections (UTI) occur in patients with temporary indwelling bladder catheters<sup>1</sup>. UTI in ICU patients are commonly found in women admitted in medical units

(9%) compared to surgical units (2%). It increases the length of ICU stay and cost of hospitalization<sup>2</sup>. The microbial agents usually responsible for UTI in ICU patients include *Escherichia coli* (E.coli), *Pseudomonas spp.*, *Klebsiella spp.*, *Proteus mirabilis*, *Staphylococcus spp.*, *Enterococcus spp.*, and *Candida spp.*<sup>2</sup>. The changes in patterns of microbial infection and their antibiotic resistance differ widely and pose a recurrent problem<sup>3</sup>. Studies have shown that the rates of drug resistance are more in ICUs when compared to general wards and outpatient departments, and ICU admission may be an independent risk factor for microbial infection with multidrug-resistance<sup>4</sup>. Although UTIs are common hospital acquired infection in ICU, the studies on these infections in India are limited. This study was designed to determine the occurrence of nosocomial UTI in ICU patients, to study the etiology and antimicrobial resistance patterns.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study was carried out for six months during February to July, 2012 at the Department of Microbiology. Mid-

stream urine samples from the suspected UTI patients in ICU department were collected under sterile conditions. The collected urine samples were inoculated on 5% Sheep blood agar and MacConkey medium and cultured media were incubated aerobically at 37°C. The culture plates were examined for significant growth of  $\geq 10^5$  colony forming units/ml of urine. Isolates were identified by standard biochemical tests. Antibiotic sensitivity was done on Muller Hinton agar by disk diffusion method and interpreted using CLSI guidelines.<sup>5</sup> The antibiotics tested were Nitrofurantoin, Amoxy-clavulanic acid, Cefuroxime, Amikacin, Cotrimoxazole, Gentamicin, Piperacillin-Tazobactam, Ceftazidime, Cefoxitin, Nalidixic acid, Norfloxacin, Ampicillin, Vancomycin, Linezolid, Imipenem and Colistin.

## OBSERVATIONS AND RESULTS

Out of 819 urine samples from ICU patients, 93(11.4%) had significant bacteriuria. In ICU, *Candida spp.* 30(32.3%), was the most common followed by *Enterococcus spp.* 19(20.4%), *Escherichia coli* 12 (12.9%), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 11 (11.8%), *Klebsiellapneumoniae* 8 (8.6%), *Staphylococcus aureus* 5 (5.4%), *Acinetobacter spp.* 4 (4.3%), *Proteus mirabilis* 3 (3.2%), *Citrobacterfreundii* 1(1.1%). (Table: 1)

Nitrofurantoin (66.7%) and Piperacillin-tazobactam (58.3%) was mostly sensitive and second generation Cephalosporins, Ampicillin and Amoxicillin clavulanate were the most resistant drugs among all bacterial isolates. For *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Imipenem and Colistin were most sensitive followed by Piperacillin-Tazobactam (63.6%). Carbapenam resistance was also noted among 3 (7.7%) gram negative bacteria. Above 90% resistance was seen in aminoglycosides and fluoroquinolone group of drugs. All 19 *Enterococcus* isolates were sensitive to Linezolid and resistant to Penicillin, Vancomycin (42.1%) and high level aminoglycoside (78.9%). (Table: 2)

**Table 1:** Urinary Isolates from ICU patients (N= 819)

Urinary Pathogens	Number (%) of isolates
<i>Candida spp.</i>	30(32.3)
<i>Enterococcus spp.</i>	19(20.4)
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	12(12.9)
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	11(11.8)
<i>Klebsiellapneumoniae</i>	8(8.6)
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	5(5.4)
<i>Acinetobacter spp.</i>	4(4.3)
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	3(3.2)
<i>Citrobacterfreundii</i>	1(1.1)
<b>Total</b>	<b>93(11.4)</b>

**Table 2:** Antimicrobial resistance pattern of bacterial isolates

ANTIBIOTICS	Bacterial Isolates No. (%) Resistant							
	<i>E. coli</i> (n=12)	<i>P. aeruginosa</i> (n=11)	<i>K. pneumoniae</i> (n=8)	<i>Acinetobacter sp.</i> (n=4)	<i>Proteus mirabilis</i> (n=3)	<i>C. freundii</i> (n=1)	<i>S. aureus</i> (n=5)	<i>Enterococcus sp.</i> (n=19)
AMP	12(100)	11(100)	8(100)	-	2(66.7)	1(100)	-	19(100)
AC	12(100)	11(100)	8(100)	-	2(66.7)	1(100)	-	-
NX	11(91.7)	9(81.8)	7(87.5)	4(100)	1(33.3)	0	5(100)	18(94.7)
NF	4(33.3)	-	7(87.5)	2(50.0)	-	0	0	9(47.4)
COT	10(83.3)	-	7(87.5)	-	2(66.7)	0	-	-
AK	11(91.7)	8(72.7)	7(87.5)	4(100)	-	0	-	-
NA	11(91.7)	-	5(62.5)	-	-	1(100)	-	-
CU	12(100)	-	8(100)	-	2(66.7)	1(100)	-	-
CAZ	-	7(63.6)	-	3(75.0)	-	-	-	-
PIT	5(41.7)	4(36.4)	4(50.0)	4(100)	0	0	-	-
IMP	1(8.3)	0	0	2(50.0)	0	0	-	-
P	-	-	-	-	-	-	5(100)	19(100)
CX	-	-	-	-	-	-	5(100)	-
GEN	-	-	-	-	-	-	4(80.0)	15(78.9)
VA	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	8(42.1)
LZ	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0

(AMP-Ampicillin, AC-Amoxy-Clavulanic acid, NX-Norfloxacin, NF-Nitrofurantoin, COT- Co-trimoxazole, AK-Amikacin, NA-Nalidixic acid, CU-Cefuroxime, CAZ-Ceftazidime, PIT-Piperacillin-Tazobactam, IMP-Imipenem, P- Penicillin, CX-Cefoxitin, GEN-Gentamicin, VA-Vancomycin and LZ-Linezolid)

## DISCUSSION

In this study, the infection rate among ICU patients was 11.4%, which is comparable to the observation done in other studies of nosocomial UTI in ICU<sup>6,7</sup>. However few

studies have also reported higher incidences of UTI. The most common organism isolated from the urine specimens of ICU patients was *Candida spp.*, followed by *Enterococcus spp.*, *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Klebsiellapneumoniae*, *Staphylococcus aureus*,

*Acinetobacter* spp., *Proteus mirabilis*, *Citrobacterfreundii*. The spectrum of urinary pathogens reported in this study is different from that mentioned in literature. But there are more number of recent studies which shows similar findings all over the world<sup>8,9,10</sup>. Majority of the previous studies from this locality have shown *Escherichia coli*, as the predominant urinary pathogen isolated from patients. However, there is increased chance of urinary catheterization in ICU patients in which case candida usually colonize the catheter and later leads to urinary infections. Considering the overall change in bacterial spectrum with gram positive bacteria causing more infections, our finding of having *Enterococcus* spp. as the most common bacterial cause for UTI in ICU patients can be explained. High antimicrobial resistance rate was noted and only few drug options were available for these ICU patients. Predominant numbers of the isolates were found to be resistant to more than one drug tested. Nitrofurantoin was the most sensitive drug next to Imipenem and colistin for all the gram negative organisms. In *E.coli*, very high resistance was noted among the second generation Cephalosporins, Ampicillin and Amoxicillin clavulanate. Carbapenam resistance was also noted among 3 (7.7%) gram negative bacteria. Above 90% resistance was seen in aminoglycosides and fluroquinolone group of drugs. In this urinary study, all the five strains of *Staphylococcus aureus* were found to be methicillin resistant whereas, no resistance to vancomycin and linezolid drug was noted. All *Enterococci* spp. were penicillin resistant and significant number of strains were also vancomycin resistant. Similar finding of having highly resistant bacteria among intensive care patients have been reported from recent studies done in North India<sup>6,7</sup>. The isolates showed high resistance to beta lactams and aminoglycoside (Gentamicin) thus limiting their importance as empirical therapy in ICUs. In both Gram-positive and Gram-negative organisms aminoglycosides and quinolones were highly resistant.

## CONCLUSION

The Spectrum of urinary pathogens causing infection among ICU patients are changing. Even the antimicrobial susceptibility pattern to common antimicrobial agents have changed leading to more resistant bacteria causing infections in hospitals. It must be taken into consideration when choosing treatment. Thus the morbidity, mortality and the treatment cost escalates among hospitalized patients. In order to restrict these infections, hospital infection control team should strictly reinforce the importance of hand washing, aseptic techniques and the use of proper barrier precautions, especially in ICU

environment. Active surveillance studies should be conducted on a larger scale in the developing countries like India where the increase in antimicrobial resistance is predominantly seen.

## REFERENCES

1. Burke JP, Yeo TW. Nosocomial urinary tract infections. In: Mayhall CG (editor). Hospital epidemiology infection control. 3rd edition. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, 2004; p: 267-86.
2. Laupland KB, Bagshaw SM, Gregson DB, Kirkpatrick AW, Ross T, Church DL. Intensive care unit-acquired urinary tract infections in a regional critical care system. Crit Care 2005; 9:R60-5.
3. Huang SS, LabusBJ, Samuel MC, Wan DT, and Reingold AL. Antibiotic Resistance Patterns Of Bacterial Isolates From Blood in San Francisco Country, California, 1996-1999. Emerging Infectious Diseases, 2002; 8(2): 195-201.
4. Archibald L, Phillips L, Monnet D, McGowan JE, Tenover F, Gaynes R. Antimicrobial resistance in hospitals and outpatients in the United States: the increasing importance of the intensive care unit. Clin Infect Dis 1997; 24:211-5.
5. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; twentieth informational supplement, CLSI document M100-S20 (ISBN 1-56238-716-2). Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 940 West Valley Road, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087-1898 USA, 2010.
6. Datta P, Rani H, Chauhan R, Gombar S, Chander J. Health-care-associated infections: Risk factors and epidemiology from an intensive care unit in Northern India. Indian J Anaesth 2014; 58:30-5.
7. NehaGarg, Indu Shukla, Meher Rizvi, Syed MA, AbidaKhatoon and Fatima Khan; Microbiological Profile and Antibiotic Sensitivity Pattern of Bacterial Isolates Causing Urinary Tract Infection in Intensive Care Unit Patients in a Tertiary Care Hospital in Aligarh Region, India. Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci (2015) Special Issue-1: 163-172
8. Carol Chenoweth, Sanjay Saint, Preventing catheter-associated urinary tract infections in the intensive care unit. Crit Care Clin. 2013 Jan; 29(1):19-32.
9. Alicia IH, Jonathan RE, Jean P, Teresa CH, Dawn MS, Daniel AP, Scott KF; NHSN annual update: Antimicrobial-Resistant Pathogens Associated With Healthcare-Associated Infections: Annual Summary of Data Reported to the National Healthcare Safety Network at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2006–2007 Infection control and hospital epidemiology, November 2008, vol. 29, no. 11
10. Robert Chang, MT Greene, CE Chenoweth, Latoya Kuhn, Emily Shuman, AM Rogers, and Sanjay Saint; Epidemiology of Hospital-Acquired Urinary Tract-Related Bloodstream Infection at a University Hospital: Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2011 November; 32(11): 1127–1129.

Source of Support: None Declared  
Conflict of Interest: None Declared