

Acute pancreatitis: A prospective study in tertiary medical care center in India

Pratap A Varute

Assistant Professor, Department of Surgery, D. Y. Patil Medical College, Kolhapur, Maharashtra, INDIA.

Email: p.varute@yahoo.in

Abstract

Background: Acute pancreatitis is sudden inflammation of the pancreas leading to mild to severe complications and high mortality inspite of treatment. **Aim:** To study the Incidence, Prevalence, Causative factors, Clinical pattern and Conservative management of Acute Pancreatitis in rural area. **Material an Method:** 50 Cases of Acute pancreatitis were studied in tertiary care center, D.Y., Patil medical college over a period of 3 years. The study was done to find out the ageand sex incidence, causative factors, clinical presentation. All Acute pancreatitis patients were treated conservatively in intensive care unit and their outcomes were observed. All these patients were followed up for 3 months to 1 year for further evaluation of recurrence. **Result:** In Present study there was high incidence of male patients suffering from Acute pancreatitis, Around (60%) of cases comprised of Alcoholic pancreatitis followed by (32%) of Gall stone pancreatitis. In Acute pancreatitis Aggressive management, close monitoring in ICU and conservative management was promising, very safe and beneficial. **Conclusion:** Advances in diagnostic and therapeutic interventions have led to promising outcomes of Acute pancreatitis leading to decrease in mortality rate. A number of conditions are known to cause this disorder, Chronic Alcohol abuse and Gallstones were found very common cause in this rural area which definitely requires health education programs to make awareness about the disease.

Keywords: Acute pancreatitis, Alcoholic pancreatitis, conservative management.

*Address for Correspondence:

Dr. Pratap A. Varute, Shri bungalow, Ambai defence colony, opp. csiber, University road Kolhapur, Maharashtra, INDIA.

Email: p.varute@yahoo.in

Received Date: 20/09/2015 Revised Date: 22/10/2015 Accepted Date: 07/11/2015

Access this article online

Quick Response Code:



Website:

www.statperson.com

DOI: 12 December
2015

INTRODUCTION

Incidence of acute pancreatitis is approximately 185,000 cases per year. It is common, the incidence in recent European studies varies between 20 to 70 cases per 100,000 population with an overall mortality of between 3% and 8%³⁻⁴. Acute pancreatitis begins in acinar cells and leads to the premature, intra-acinar forms (eg. Trypsinogen to Trypsin) accumulation of large vacuoles in acinar cells, inflammation and parenchymal cell death through apoptosis and necrosis¹. The activated pancreatic enzymes cause autodigestion. These inflammatory process leads to collection of fluids in and around the

pancreas. In acute period, the fluid collection is not well defined, and it is often associated with tissue odema. After 4 weeks the fluid collection is much more organized with definite wall and is described as pseudocyst. According to latest classification, these can be divided in to acute or delayed depending on whether such a collection is less than or more than 4 weeks duration². The enzyme rich fluid and necrotic collections if persistent will eventually develop fibrosis around its periphery leading to WOPN (walled off pancreatic necrosis) after necrosis three potential outcomes exists: Resolution, pseudocyst, WOPN or formation of abscess or infected necrosis. The infected pancreatic necrosis leads to severe complication with high mortality rate with multiorgan failure and sepsis³. The objective of the study were to find out age and sex incidence, prevalence of causative factors, investigative findings and to determine the benefits of conservative treatment in management of acute pancreatitis.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present study was conducted between 2011- 2014 in the Department of surgery, D.Y.Patil Medical college, Kolhapur, Maharashtra, India, which provides Tertiary

health facilities. All these 50 patients were referral cases admitted as emergency with severe abdominal pain in epigastric region. On admission each patients detailed analysis regarding age, sex, history regarding alcohol and smoking were asked. After Medical history, physical examination and diagnosing clinically the Laboratory investigations including routine and special investigations like serum amylase, serum lipase, serum urea, Serum creatinine, serum calcium, liver function tests, serum blood sugar level, Arterial blood gas were done. X-ray chest and USG abdomen was also done at early stage. CT abdomen was done for all patients after 4-5 days after admission to the hospital to evaluate the status of acute changes of pancreas and a repeat CT was done after three to four weeks. Patients with perforated peptic ulcer, Acute cholecystitis, pneumonia, pleural pain, myocardial infarction were excluded in this study. After thorough investigation diagnosis were confirmed with elevated serum amylase, abdominal pain and nausea and vomiting. All these patients were treated in surgical ICU conservatively by keeping all these patients nil by mouth, Ryles tube (nasogastric tube) was inserted, and were treated with pain relieving agents, restoring fluid and electrolyte losses intravenously. Intravenous antibiotics were started immediately after diagnosing clinically and serum hematocrit reports. All these patients were closely monitored in cases of haemodynamic, respiratory, renal insufficiency with diuresis of < 0.5 ml/kg/n inspite of adequate fluid resuscitation were managed in Intensive care unit. ERCP (Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography) were done on biliary etiology. Laproscopic cholecystectomy were done to all these 16 patients within 1-2 weeks. Follow up of all 50 patients were done for 3 months to 1 year.

OBSERVATION AND RESULT

Highest incidence of age group were between 31- 40 years (56%) followed by age between 21-30 years (20 %). Highest incidence were observed in 3rd decade followed by 2nd decade. Male incidence with acute pancreatitis were more (86%) and female were (14%). The youngest patient was 12 years female child and eldest was 53 years old male patient as shown in Table 1

Table 1: Age and Sex Incidence

Age	No. of Cases		Total	Percentage
	Male	Female		
10-20	0	1	1	2
21-30	9	1	10	20
31-40	25	3	28	56
41-50	4	2	6	12
51 and above	5	0	5	10
Total	43(86%)	7 (14%)	50(100%)	100

All 50 patients were admitted with severe epigastric pain (100%), nausea and vomiting (54.0%) and around (36%) of patients had fever, Ascites was present in (56.0%) of patients and pleural effusion was present in (54%) of patients. Jaundice was present in (50%) of cases as shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Signs and Symptoms

Signs and Symptoms	No. of Cases	Percentage
Severe epigastric pain	50	100%
Nausea and vomiting	27	54%
Fever	18	36%
Jaundice	25	50%
Ascites	28	56%
Pleural effusion	27	54%

On admission all these patients laboratory investigations were done which revealed elevated W.B.C (100%), Serum amylase (100%), serum lipase (46%), serum glucose (76%), raised CRP (100%), abnormal liver functions in (40%) of patients. The USG abdomen findings revealed Acute pancreatitis in (100%) of cases, fatty liver in (60%) while ascites was present in (30 %) of cases. CT abdomen of all patients were done after 3-4 days of admission to know the exact status of pancreatic pathological inflammation and severity of glands. On CT (100%) had diffusely enlarged pancreas, (24%) of patients had pancreatic abnormality and peripancreatic inflammation, fluid collection in single location of pancreas were observed in 3 (6%) patients and multiple location in 2(4%) of cases, gall stones in 16 (32%) and pancreatic necrosis around 30% were present in (10%) of cases. Table 3 highlights the Investigative findings.

Table 3: The Investigation Findings

Laboratory Findings	No. of cases	Percentage
BLOOD		
Leucocytosis	50	100
Elevated blood glucose level	38	76
Elevated serum amylase	50	100
Elevated serum lipase	23	46
Elevated CRP	50	10
Abnormal LFT	20	40
USG FINDINGS		
Acute pancreatitis	50	100
Ascites	15	30
Gall stones	16	32
Fatty lever	30	60
CT FINDINGS		
Diffusely enlarged pancreas	50	100
Pancreatic gland abnormality and pancreatic inflammation	12	24
Fluid collection in single location	3	6
Fluid collection in multiple location	2	4
Gall stones	16	32
Necrosis around 30%	5	10

The Prevalence of Alcoholic pancreatitis were very common in this rural area (60%) followed Gall stones (32%), post ERCP (4%), Idiopathic pancreatitis (4%). The causative factors are highlighted in Table 4.

Table 4: Causative factors observed in this study

Causative factors	No. of cases	Percentage
Alcoholic pancreatitis	30	60%
Gall stone pancreatitis	16	32%
Post ERCP pancreatitis	2	4 %
Idiopathic pancreatitis	2	4%
Total	50	100%

Patients with alcoholic, post ERCP, Idiopathic pancreatitis were managed by conservative treatment with close monitoring in ICU with adequate intravenous fluid and pain management, while all the Gall stone pancreatitis patients were managed by ERCP (Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography) and laproscopic cholecystectomy on the same admission. All these patients were given prophylactic antibiotics and nutritional support to prevent the infectious complications of pancreas. Complications developed in patients are as follows Ascites (60%), Jaundice (50%), Pleural effusion (54%), ARF(10%), Hypoglycemia (14%), pseudocyst formation of pancreas (4%). All patients were followed up for 3 months to 1 year. Surprisigly 2 patients were again admitted with recurrence of same disorder and both of them were chronic alcoholics.

DISSCUSSION

Acute pancreatitis can occur in any age, the youngest patient in this series was 12 years female child and oldest was 53 year old male. In this study acute pancreatitis were common in 3rd and 4th decade. In present series Alcoholic pancreatitis were more prevalent in 60% of cases, followed by 32% gall stone pancreatitis. In Recent study Whitcomb D C *et al*⁸ suggested 44% patients have alcohol as the primary risk factor for acute or chronic pancreatitis. Incidence of male patients were 86% while female were 14%, difference in sexual predilection are based on the difference in the frequency of causative factors of the pancreatitis. Men have alcohol induced pancreatitis while women are more likely to have gall stone pancreatitis. Acute pancreatitis is an acute inflammation of the pancreas that in western countries is mainly caused by gall stones 40%-50% and alcohol abuse 10%-40% and other causes 20%-30%, include medication ERCP, hypertriglyceridemia and surgery in around 10% etiology remains unknown^{5,6}. Even this study matches with Yadav *et al*⁵ and Neoptolemons NP *et al*⁶ with consideration of causative factors. Diagnosis of acute pancreatitis requires at least 2 of the following 3 features

- Abdominal pain typically epigastric

- Elevated serum amylase or lipase 2-3 times the upper limit of normal.
- Characteristic findings of acute pancreatitis on USG or on CT abdomen.

In most of the cases clinical diagnosis and Laboratory findings accurately provide the diagnosis and no diagnostic image is required⁷ This study is similar to the study of Sandr Van Brunschot *et al*⁷, all patients had abdominal pain (100%), Elevated serum amylase (100%), Elevated serum lipase (46%), Elevated CRP (100%) and abnormal LFT (40%), on USG finding acute pancreatitis were (100%). In present study CT abdomen of all patients were done after 4-5 days of admission to find out the underline pathology of pancreas. A CT abdomen with initial 3-4 days of acute pancreatitis might underestimate or miss the amount of necrosis according to Forsmak CE *et al*⁹ and Bollen *et al*¹⁰. According to Arvanitakis M *et al* CT is considered the gold standard in diagnostic imaging for Acute pancreatitis¹¹. In predicting the prognosis of acute pancreatitis there are several scoring Indices such as Ransons criteria, Glasgow criteria, APACHE II (Acute physiology and chronic health evaluation), Balthazar's scoring and BISAP score. Ransons criteria is a clinical prediction rule for predicting the severity of acute pancreatitis and it was introduced in 1974¹⁵. Ransons is also applicable to both alcoholic pancreatitis and Gall stone pancreatitis. In the present study Ransons criteria was applied. According to Ranson *et al* on admission patients age in years less than 55 years, Leucocytosis >16000 cells/mm³, Blood glucose >10mmol/L (> 200mg/dl), serum AST >250 IU/L and serum LDH>350IU/L and at 48 hrs calcium<2.0 mmol/L, Hematocrit fall > 10mmol/l, hypoxemia PO₂ < 60mm of Hg, BUN increased by 1.8 mmol/L, and sequestration of fluids > 6 L. Interpretation criteria of Ransons was if the score > 3 then severe pancreatitis is likely if the score < 3 severe pancreatitis is unlikely or score 0 to 2 : 2% mortality, score 3 to 4 : 15% mortality, score 5 to 6 : 40% mortality, score 7 to 8 : 100% mortality. The present study with Ranson criteria (60%) of cases had mild attack less than 3 signs, (24%) of patients had more than 3 signs, (10%) of cases had 3-4 signs while (4%) of patients had 5-6 signs. USG abdomen was done in all patients within 6 hrs on admission. Around (100%) of patients had acute pancreatitis with (60%) fatty liver and ascites in (30%) of cases. Gallstones were found in (32%) of cases immediate ERCP was done within 24 hrs to 72 hrs. According to Apostolakos *et al*¹⁶ early ERCP performed within 24 hrs to 72 hrs of presentation is known to reduce morbidity and mortality. CT scan study were conducted after 4-5 days of admission to the hospital in all 50 patients to determine the severity of acute pancreatitis. Emil. J. Balthazar *et al*¹⁷ developed in the early 1990s the

Computed Tomography Severity Index (CTSI) which is a grading system used to determine the severity of acute pancreatitis. In present study the Balthazars criteria was applied, diffuse enlargement of pancreas were present in (100%) of patients, 1 point according to Balthazar score while pancreatic gland abnormality and peripancreatic inflammation were present in (24%) of patients which indicate 2 points while fluid collection in single location were present in (6%) of cases which indicates 3 points, (4%) of multiple fluid collection indicating 4 points, pancreatic necrosis around 30% were present in (10%) of patients indicating 2 points of score. The CTSI staging definitely determined the severity of acute pancreatitis. CTSI staging of acute pancreatitis severity has been shown by a number of studies to provide more accurate assessment than APACHE II, Ranson and CRP level^{18,19}. Initial management of acute pancreatitis is mainly conservative management which consists of supportive care with fluid resuscitation, pain management, frequent monitoring of clinical course, nutritional support and supportive measures for organ failure. In the initial stages within the first 12 hrs to 24 hrs aggressive hydration at a rate of 5 to 10 ml/kg per hour of Normal saline or Ringer lactate solution were administered to all patients with acute pancreatitis. Fluid requirements were reassessed at frequent levels in the first 6 hrs of admission and for the next 24 to 48 hrs. The rate of fluid resuscitation were adjusted based on clinical assessment, haematocrit and blood urea nitrogen values. In the initial stages (within first 12 to 24 hrs) of acute pancreatitis fluid replacement has been associated with reduction in mortality and morbidity^{20,21}. All patients were kept nil by mouth with nasogastric tube to achieve bowel rest. Analgesia play an important role in treatment of pancreatitis, intravenous/intramuscular analgesia were administered to all patients. Opioids are safe and effective at providing pain control in patients with acute pancreatitis as suggested by Basutro Ona *et al*²². Intravenous antibiotics were started to all patients in order to avoid future development of complications of acute pancreatitis. Duber H *et al*²³ suggested that there are isolated case reports of patients with pancreatic infection surviving with medical treatment alone²³. Even our study observed that conservative management of acute pancreatitis using early antibiotics were safe and effective. Out of 50 patients 33 (66%) were conservatively managed and 16 patients (32%) needed ERCP and laproscopic cholecystectomy. 5 patients developed 30% pancreatic necrosis which were managed by conservative treatment, only one patient did not respond to this treatment, needed surgical intervention open necroostomy was done. Systemic complications in the present study observed were Ascites (56%), Jaundice (50%), Pleural effusion

(54%), Hyperglycemia (14%), ARDS (10%), Pseudocyst formation (4%). All these patients were treated conservatively with vigorous and aggressive management in ICU. There was no mortality in this study, this may be due to trail of study with early antibiotic treatment against acute pancreatitis. In severe cases Meropenum, Imipenem were used to prevent severe infections of pancreas which significantly proved more effective. According to Eland IA *et al*⁴ Incidence of acute pancreatitis increased from 12.4 to 15.9 % per 100,000 annually from 1985 to 1995. In Europe however mortality remained stable as a result of better outcomes²⁴.

CONCLUSION

The standard goal of treating acute pancreatitis is to improve pancreatic inflammation and to correct underlying causes. In present study there was high incidence of alcoholic pancreatitis, health education regarding the disease and risk factor about alcohol must be made aware to the patients in this rural area. The purpose of this study definitely increased the knowledge in assessing the clinical presentation, causative factors and diagnosis of acute pancreatitis. The study also confirmed that the conservative treatment using early antibiotics were safe and effective. In the present study inspite of promising results, the study was conducted in a very small group it is worthwhile to conclude that there is need for many more clinical trials with larger sample size.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Author would like to express gratitude towards Dept.of Surgery D.Y.Patil Medical College, Kolhapur for providing constant support for the research. Institutional ethical committee approval was taken before starting the study.

REFERENCES

1. Gukonvsky I, Pandol S.J., Mareninova OA, Shalbuera N, Jia W, Gukovskaya AS. Impaired autopathology dysfunction in pancreatitis. J.Gastroentrol. Hepatol.2012 mar. 27. Suppl.2:27-32(Medline)
2. Banks PA, Bollen TL, Denvenis C *et al*. Classification of acute pancreatitis- 2012: Revision of the Atlanta classification and definitions by international consensus. Gut. 2013.Jan. 62(1):102-11(Medline)
3. Mc Kay CJ, Evans s, Sinclair M, Carter CR, Imrie CW. High mortality rate from acute pancreatitis in Scotland. 19984 – 1995, Br.J.Surg. 1999, 86:1302-1305
4. Eland IA, Stukenboom M J, Wilson JH, Striker BH. Incidence and mortality of acute pancreatitis between 1985-1995. Scand.J. Gastroenterol. 2000;35: 1110-1116
5. Yadav D and Lowenfels.A.B. Trends in the epidemiology of the first attack of acute pancreatitis; a systemic review. Pancreas 2006;33; 323-330

6. Neoptolemons. JP., Kemppainen EA, Mayer JM et al. Early prediction of severity in acute pancreatitis by urinary trypsinogen activation peptide; a multicenter study. *Lancet* 2000; 355; 1955-1960
7. Sandra Ven Brunschot, Olaf J Bakker, Marc G Besselink, Thomas C Bollen, Paul Fockens, Hein G, Gooszen, Hjalmar C Van Santi Voon et al : Treatment of Necrotizing pancreatitis; *Clinical Gastroenterology and hepatology*; Nov.2012 volume 10, issue pages 1190-1201
8. Whitcomb DC, Yadav D, Adams et al. Multicenter approach to recurrent acute and chronic pancreatitis in the united states: The North American pancreatitis study 2. *Pancreatology* 2008; 8(4-5): 520
9. Forsmark C.E. Baillie J, AGA Institute clinical practice and economics committee. AGA Institute technical review on acute pancreatitis. *Gastroenterology* 2007; 132: 2022-2044
10. Bollen, T.L.Singh, V.K.Maurer R et al. A comparative evaluation of radiological and clinical scoring systems in the early prediction of severity in acute pancreatitis. *Am. J. Gastroentrol.*2012; 107; 612-619
11. Arvanitakis M, Koustiani G, Gantzaru A, Grollios G, Tsitouridis I, Haritandi-Kouridou A, Dimitriadis A, Arvanitakis C; Koustiani; Gantzaru; Grollios; Tsitouridis; Haritandi-Kouridou; Dimitriadis; Arvanitakis (2007). "Staging of severity and prognosis of acute pancreatitis by computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging-a comparative study". *Dig Liver Dis.* 39 (5): 473–482. doi:10.1016/j.dld.2007.01.015. PMID 17363349.
12. Larvin M, McMahon M; McMahon (1989). "APACHE-II score for assessment and monitoring of acute pancreatitis". *Lancet* 2 (8656): 201–5. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(89)90381-4. PMID 2568529.
13. Chatzicostas C, Roussomoustakaki M, Vlachonikolis I, Notas G, Mouzas I, Samonakis D, Kouroumalis E; Roussomoustakaki; Vlachonikolis; Notas; Mouzas; Samonakis; Kouroumalis (2002). "Comparison of Ranson, APACHE II and APACHE III scoring systems in acute pancreatitis". *Pancreas* 25 (4):3315. doi:10.1097/0000667620021100000002. PMID 12409825. (comment = this study used a Apache cutoff of >=10)
14. Yeung Y, Lam B, Yip A; Lam; Yip (2006). "APACHE system is better than Ranson system in the prediction of severity of acute pancreatitis". *Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int* 5 (2): 294–9. PMID 16698595.
15. Sommermeyer, Lucille (December 1935). "Acute Pancreatitis". *American Journal of Nursing* 35 (12): 1157 - 1161. doi:10.2307/3412015. JSTOR 3412015.
16. Apostolakis, Michael J.; Peter J. Papadakis (2001). *The Intensive Care Manual*. McGraw-Hill Professional. ISBN 0-07-006696-5.
17. Balthazar EJ, Robinson DL, Megibow AJ, Ranson JH; Robinson; Megibow; Ranson (1990). "Acute pancreatitis: value of CT in establishing prognosis". *Radiology* 174 (2): 331–336. doi:10.1148/radiology.174.2.2296641. PMID 2296641.
18. Knoepfli AS, Kinkel K, Berney T, Morel P, Becker CD, Poletti PA; Kinkel; Berney; Morel; Becker; Poletti (2007). "Prospective study of 310 patients: can early CT predict the severity of acute pancreatitis?". *AbdomImaging* 32 (1):111–115. doi:10.1007/s00261-006-9034-y. PMID 16944038.
19. Leung TK, Lee CM, Lin SY, Chen HC, Wang HJ, Shen LK, Chen YY; Lee; Lin; Chen; Wang; Shen; Chen (2005). "Balthazar computed tomography severity index is superior to Ranson criteria and APACHE II scoring system in predicting acute pancreatitis outcome". *World J Gastroenterol* 11 (38): 6049–6052. PMID 16273623.
20. Working Group IAP/APA Acute Pancreatitis Guidelines (2013). "IAP/APA evidence-based guidelines for the management of acute pancreatitis". *Pancreatology* 13 (4): e1–15. doi:10.1016/j.pan.2013.07.063. PMID 24054878.
21. Trikudanathan, Guru; Navaneethan, Udayakumar; Vege, Santhi Swaroop (2012). "Current Controversies in Fluid Resuscitation in Acute Pancreatitis". *Pancreas* 41 (6): 827–834. doi:10.1097/MPA.0b013e31824c1598. PMID 22781906.
22. Basurto Ona, Xavier; Rigau Comas, David; Urrútia, Gerard (2013). "Opioids for acute pancreatitis pain". *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews* 7: CD009179. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD009179.pub2. PMID 23888429.
23. Dubner H, Steinbergw, Hill M, Bassic, Chardavoyner, Banks. Infected pancreatic necrosis and peripancreatic fluid collections: Serendipitous response to antibiotics and medical therapy in three patients. *Pancreas*, 1996; 12: 298-302

Source of Support: None Declared
Conflict of Interest: None Declared