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#### Abstract

This paper presents one such technique for the solution of a class of ordinary nonlinear differential equations. The technique is capable of deriving closed-form descriptions of the qualitative temporal behaviour represented by such equations. The boundedness of solutions of certain nonlinear third- order delay differential equations. Sufficient conditions for the boundedness of solutions for the equations considered are obtained by constructing a Lyapunov functional.
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## INTRODUCTION

More recently, qualitative phase-space approaches have been introduced [Lee and Kuipers 88, Sacks 87]. Augmenting simulation, these techniques explore trajectories in phase space, showing how the qualitative values in a system will change from any point in the space. Similar to the phase-space methods used in quantitative analysis (Thompson and Stewart 86), these techniques are strong at indicating convergence, stability, etc. But weaker at explicitly describing the temporal behaviour of the values. Such qualitative solutions to differential equations are desirable for several reasons. First, if an exact solution can indicate the types of behaviour that are possible, augmenting numerical simulation results. Also, for complex equations where an exact solution is known, it may be so complex as to not be comprehensible solution may be preferable for obtaining an intuitive understanding of system behaviour. The advantages of qualitative descriptions of behaviour are covered further in (Yip 88). We should recognize that some significant theoretical results concerning the stability and boundedness of solutions third order nonlinear differential equations with delay have been achieved, see for example the papers of Sadek ${ }^{5}$, Tejumola and Tchegnani ${ }^{6}$, Tunc ${ }^{7,8}$ Zhu $^{9}$ and the references citied in these papers. It should be noted that, in 1969 , Palusinski et al. ${ }^{10}$ applied an energy metric algorithm for the generation of a Lyapunov function for third order ordinary nonlinear differential equation of the form:

$$
x^{\prime \prime \prime}+\mathrm{a}_{1} \mathrm{x}^{\prime \prime}+f_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right) x^{\prime}+a_{3} x=0
$$

They found some conditions for the stability of zero solution of this equation as follows:
$a_{1}>0, f_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right)>a_{3}>0$.
In this paper we are concerned with the third ordinary nonlinear delay differential equations of the type

$$
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+a_{1} x^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{t})+f_{2}\left(x^{\prime}(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{t}))+a_{3} x(t)=p\left(t, x(t), x(t), x(\operatorname{tr}(t)), x^{\prime}(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{t})), x^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{t})\right) \rightarrow(1)\right.
$$

[^0]or its equivalent system
$x^{\prime}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t}), y^{\prime}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{z}(\mathrm{t})$,
$z^{\prime}(t)=-a_{1} z(t)-f_{2}(y(t))-a_{3} x(t)+\int_{t-r(t)}^{t} f_{2}^{\prime}(y(s)) z(s) d s$
$+p(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{t})), \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{t})), \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{t}))$,
where r is a bonded delay, $0 \leq r(t) \leq \gamma, r^{\prime}(t) \leq \beta, 0<\beta<1$,
$\beta$ and $\gamma$ are some positive constants $\gamma$ which will be determined later;
$a_{1}$ and $a_{3}$ are some positive constants; the functions $\mathrm{f}_{2}$ and $p$ depend only on the arguments displayed explicitly and the primes in equation (1) denote differentiations with respect to $t$

## THEOREM

In addition to the basic assumptions imposed on the functions $f_{2}$ and $p$ that appeared in equation.
$x^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{t})+\mathrm{a}_{1} \mathrm{x}^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{t})+\mathrm{f}_{2}\left(\mathrm{x}^{\prime}(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{t}))+\mathrm{a}_{3} \mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t})=\right.$
$\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{x}^{\prime}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{t})), \mathrm{x}^{\prime}(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{t})), \mathrm{x}^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{t})\right) \rightarrow(\mathrm{A})$
We assume that there are positive Constants , $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, \varepsilon_{0}, L, \mu \operatorname{HandH}_{1}$, such that the Condition satisfied for $x, y, z$ in $\Omega:=\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathrm{R}^{3}:|\mathrm{x}|<\mathrm{H}_{1},|\mathrm{y}|<\mathrm{H}_{1},|\mathrm{z}|<\mathrm{H}_{1}, \mathrm{H}_{1}<\mathrm{H}\right\}$

1. $a_{1}, a_{2},-a_{3}>0, f_{2}(0)=0, \frac{f_{2}(y)}{y}-a_{2}>, \varepsilon_{0},(y \neq 0)$ and $\left|f_{2}^{1}(y)\right| \leq L$
2. $\mid \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{t})), \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{t})), \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{t}) \mid \leq \mathrm{q}(\mathrm{t})$,

Where $\max \mathrm{q}(\mathrm{t})<\infty$ and $q \in \mathrm{~L}^{1}(0, \infty)$
The space of integrable lebesgue function than there exist a finite positive Constant $\mathrm{k}_{1}$ such that the solution $x(t)$ of equation $(A)$ difined by the initial functions.
$\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t})=\varnothing(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{x}^{1}(\mathrm{t})=\varnothing^{\prime}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{x}^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{t})=\emptyset^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{t})$
Satisties the in equalities
$|\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t})| \leq \mathrm{k}_{1},\left|\mathrm{x}^{\prime}(\mathrm{t})\right| \leq \mathrm{k}_{1},\left|\mathrm{x}^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{t})\right| \leq \mathrm{k}_{1}$
For all $t \geq t_{0}$, where $Ø \in c^{2}\left(\left[t_{0}-r, t_{0}\right], R\right)$
Provided that
$\gamma<\min \left\{\frac{2 \varepsilon_{0}}{\mathrm{~L}}, \frac{2\left(\mathrm{a}_{1} \mathrm{a}_{2}-\mathrm{a}_{3}\right)}{\mathrm{a}_{2} \mathrm{~L}+2 \mu}\right\}$
Proof: see [13,PP84]. If $f(t, \emptyset)$ in $x=f(t, x(t))$,
$\mathrm{xt}(\Theta)=\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t}+\boldsymbol{\theta}),-\mathrm{r} \leq \Theta \leq 0, \mathrm{t} \geq 0$ is continuous in t , $\varnothing$, for every ØЄС $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}<\mathrm{H}$ andt ${ }_{0}, 0 \leq \mathrm{t}_{0}<\mathrm{c}$, where C is ( + )ve Constant then there exist a solution with initial value $\varnothing$ at $t=t_{0}$ and this solution continues for $t>t_{0}$, Now. The proof of this theorem also depends on the Scalar. Differentiable lyapunov functional $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{t}))$ defined in
$\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{t}))=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{a}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{x}^{2}+\mathrm{a}_{2} \mathrm{a}_{3} \mathrm{xy}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{a}_{2} \mathrm{z}^{2}+\mathrm{a}_{3} \mathrm{yz}+$
$a_{2} \int_{0}^{y} f_{2}(\xi) d \xi+\frac{1}{2} a_{1} a_{3} y^{2}+\mu \int_{-r(t)}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} z^{2}(\theta) d \theta d s$
Now since, $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{t})), \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{t})) \neq 0$ in view of
$Z^{\prime}(t)=-a_{1} z(t)-f_{2}(y(t))-a_{3} x(t)+\int_{t-r(t)}^{t} f_{2}^{1}(y(s) z(s))^{2} d s+p(t, x(t), y(t), x(t-r(t)), y(t-r(t)), z(t))$ and
$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} \mathrm{v}(\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{t})) \leq-\propto \mathrm{y}^{2}-\rho \mathrm{z}^{2}$
It can be satisfies following inequality.
$\frac{d}{d t} V(x(t), y(t), z(t)) \leq-\alpha y^{2}-\rho z^{2}+\left|a_{3} y+a_{2} z\right| \cdot|P(t, x(t), y(t), x(t-r(t)), y(t-r(t)), y(t))|$
$\leq-\propto y^{2}-\rho z^{2}-\left|a_{3} y+a_{2} z\right| q(t)$ Hence it follows that
$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} \mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{t}) \leq-\propto \mathrm{y}^{2}-\rho \mathrm{z}^{2}+\mathrm{D}_{2}(|\mathrm{y}|+|\mathrm{z}|) \mathrm{q}(\mathrm{t})\right.$
Hence if follows that
$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{t})) \leq \mathrm{D}_{2}(|\mathrm{y}|+|\mathrm{z}|) \mathrm{q}(\mathrm{t})$
for a Constant $\mathrm{D}_{2}>0$ where, $\mathrm{D}_{2}=\max \left\{1, \mathrm{a}^{-1}\right\}$
making use of inequalities
$|\mathrm{y}|<1+\mathrm{y}^{2}$ and $|\mathrm{z}|<1+\mathrm{z}^{2}$
it is clear that
$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{t})) \leq\left(|\mathrm{y}|+\mathrm{a}^{-1}|\mathrm{z}|\right) \mathrm{q}(\mathrm{t})$
$\leq \mathrm{D}_{2}(|\mathrm{y}|+|\mathrm{z}|) \mathrm{q}(\mathrm{t})$
$\leq \mathrm{D}_{2}\left(2+\mathrm{y}^{2}+\mathrm{z}^{2}\right) \mathrm{q}(\mathrm{t})$
we know
$\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{t})) \geq \mathrm{D}^{1}\left(\mathrm{x}^{2}+\mathrm{y}^{2}+\mathrm{z}^{2}\right) \rightarrow(1)$
we have,
$\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right) \leq D_{1}^{-1} V(x(t), y(t), z(t))$
hence,
$\frac{d}{d t} V(x(t), y(t), z(t)) \leq D_{2}\left(2+D_{1}^{-1} V(x(t), y(t), z(t)) q(t)\right.$
$\frac{d}{d t} V(x(t), y(t), z(t)) \leq 2 D_{2} q(t)+D_{2} D_{1}^{-1} V(x(t), y(t), z(t)) q(t)$
Now, integrating the last inequality from 0 to $t$ using the assumption $q \in L(0, \infty)$ and Gronwall - Reid - Bellman inequality we obtain
$V(x(t), y(t), z(t)) \leq V(0,0,0)+2 D_{2} E+D_{2} D_{1}^{-1} \int_{0}^{t}(V(x(s), y(s), z(s)) q(s) d s$
$\left.\leq V(0,0,0)+2 D_{2} E\right) \exp \left(D_{2} D_{1}^{-1} \int_{0}^{t} q(s) d s\right)$
$\left.\leq V(0,0,0)+2 D_{2} E\right) \exp \left(D_{2} D_{1}^{-1} E\right)=k_{2}<\infty \rightarrow$ (2)
where $k_{2}>0$ is a constant
$k_{2}=\left(V(0,0,0)+2 D_{2} E\right) \exp \left(D_{2} D_{1}^{-1} E\right)$
and $\mathrm{E}=\int_{0}^{\infty} q(s) d s$
Now the inequalities (1) and(2)
We get
$x^{2}(t)-y^{2}(t)+z^{2}(t) \leq D_{1}^{-1} V\left(x(t), y(t), z(t) \leq k_{3}\right.$
Where,$k_{3}=k_{2} D_{1}^{-1}$
we Conclude that
$|x(t)| \leq k_{3},|y(t)| \leq k_{3},|z(t)| \leq k_{3}$
for all $t \geq t_{0}$
Hence,
$|x(t)| \leq k_{3},\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq k_{3}, \mid x^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq k_{3}$
for all $t \geq t_{0}$
thus the proof of theorem is now Complete.
Example.
consider the third order nonlinear delay differential equation.
$x^{\prime}(\mathrm{t})+\left[\mathrm{x}^{2}(\mathrm{t})+\mathrm{x}^{\prime}(\mathrm{t})+4\right] \mathrm{x}^{\prime \prime}(t)+8 x^{\prime}(t-r(t))$
$+\sin x^{\prime}(t-r(t))+\frac{x(t-r(t)}{1+x^{2}(t-r(t))} \rightarrow(1)$
$=\frac{4}{1+t^{2}+x^{2}(t)+x^{\prime 2}(t)+x^{2}(t-r(t))+x^{\prime 2}(t-r(t))+x^{\prime \prime 2}(t)}$
or its equivalent system form
$x^{\prime}=y$,
$y^{\prime}=z$,
$z^{\prime}=-\left[y^{2}+y+4\right] z-[8 y+\sin y]-\frac{x}{1+x^{2}}$
$+\int_{t-r}^{t}(8+\cos y(s)) z(s)+\int_{t-r(t)}^{t} \frac{1-x(s)}{\left(1+x^{2}(s)\right)^{2}} y(s) d s$
$+\frac{4}{1+t^{2}+x^{2}+y^{2}+x^{2}(t-r(t))+y^{2}(t-r(t))+y^{2}(t)}$
observe that
$\frac{4}{1+t^{2}+x^{2}+y^{2}+x^{2}(t-r(t))+y^{2}(t-r(t))+z^{2}} \leq \frac{4}{1+t^{2}}=q(t)$
for all $t \in R^{+}, x, y, x(t-r(t)), y(t-r(t)), z$ and
$\int_{0}^{\infty} q(s) d s=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{4}{1+s^{2}} \mathrm{ds}=\pi<\infty$, that is $q \in L^{1}(0, \infty)$
to show the boundedness of solutions we use as a main tool the Lyapunov functional now, in view the time derivative of the functional $V x(t), y(t), z(t))$ with respect to the system can be revised as
follows: $\left.\frac{d}{d t} V x(t), y(t), z(t)\right) \leq$
$-v y^{2}-p z^{2}+\frac{y+a^{-1} z}{1+t^{2}+x^{2}+y^{2}+x^{2}(t-r(t))+y^{2}(t-r(t))+z^{2}}$
Making use of the fact
$\frac{1}{1+t^{2}+x^{2}+y^{2}+x^{2}(t-r(t))+y^{2}(t-r(t))+z^{2}} \leq \frac{1}{1+t^{2}}$
we get
$\left.\frac{d}{d t} V x(t), y(t), z(t)\right) \leq-V y^{2}-\rho z^{2}+\frac{4\left|y+a^{-1} z\right|}{1+t^{2}}$
Hence it is obvious that
$\left.\frac{d}{d t} V x(t), y(t), z(t)\right) \leq \frac{4|y+z|}{1+t^{2}} \leq \frac{4|y|+|z|}{1+t^{2}}$
$\leq \frac{4\left(4+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)}{1+t^{2}}=\frac{8}{1+t^{2}}+\frac{4\left(y^{2}+z^{2}\right)}{1+t^{2}} \rightarrow$ (2)
$\left.\leq \frac{8}{1+t^{2}}+\frac{4 D_{1}^{-1}}{1+t^{2}} V x(t), y(t), z(t)\right)$ Now, integrating (2)from 0 to $t_{1}$ using the fact $\frac{1}{1+t^{2}} \epsilon L^{1}(0, \infty)$ and
andGronwall - Reid - Bellman inequality, it can be easily
concluded the boundedness of all solutions of (1).
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