

Stability of solutions of nonlinear delay differential equations of third order

Choudhari Hanumant Lahu^{1*}, Kakde R V²

¹Department of Mathematics, S.M. Dnyandeo Mohekar Mahavidyalaya, Kalamb, Tq: Kalamb, Osmanabad, 413507, Maharashtra, INDIA.

²Department of Mathematics, Shri shivaji College, Kandar, Nanded, Maharashtra, INDIA.

Email: choudharihl2011@gmail.com

Abstract

In this paper we investigate stability of solutions of some nonlinear differential equations of third order with delay. By constructing a Lyapunov functional, sufficient conditions for the stability of solutions for equations considered are obtained.

Key Word: differential equations of third order.

*Address for Correspondence:

Dr. Choudhari Hanumant Lahu, Department of Mathematics, S.M. Dnyandeo Mohekar Mahavidyalaya, Kalamb, Tq: Kalamb, Osmanabad, 413507, Maharashtra, INDIA.

Email: choudharihl2011@gmail.com

Received Date: 08/12/2014 Accepted Date: 15/12/2014

Access this article online

Quick Response Code:



Website:

www.statperson.com

DOI: 17 December
2014

INTRODUCTION

The Lyapunov function or functional approach has been a powerful tool to ascertain the stability of solutions of certain differential equations. Up to today, perhaps, the most effective method to determine the stability of solutions of nonlinear differential equations is still the Lyapunov's direct (or second) method. The major advantage of this method is that the stability of solutions can be obtained without any prior knowledge of solutions.

For over four decades many authors made use of the Lyapunov's direct method by considering Lyapunov functional and obtained the conditions which ensure the stability of the problem, But how do we construct those appropriate Lyapunov functional? No author has discussed them thus far. It is in general a difficult task. Similar problem is shared with ordinary differential equations of high orders[6].

We consider the third order non – autonomous nonlinear different equations with delays:

$$x''' + p(t)x'' + q(t)n(x') + m(x(t-r)) = 0$$

and

$$x''' + p(t)x'' + q(t)n(x') + m(x(t-r)) = P(t)$$

Where r is a positive constant, $p(t)$, $q(t)$, $n(x)$, $m(x)$ are real valued functions continuous in their respective arguments; $n(0) = m(0) = 0$. The dots indicates differential with respect to t and all solutions are assumed real.

Equations of the forms above equations in which $p(t)$, $q(t)$ are constants have been studied by several authors namely, Sadek [5] and Zhu[13], to mention a few . They obtained criteria which ensure the stability

Recently, in [6] , SADEK establishes conditions under which all solutions of the non- autonomus equation

$$x''' + p(t)x'' + q(t)n(x') + m(x(t-r)) = 0$$

3.Preliminaries Now we will give the definitions and the stability criteria for the general non – autonomcus delay differentail system.

$$\dot{x} = f(t, x_t), x_t = x(t + \theta), -r \leq \theta \leq 0, t \geq 0 \quad - 3.1$$

where $f: I \times C_H \rightarrow R^n$ is a continuous mapping.

$$f(t, 0) = 0, C_H = \{\phi \in (C[-r, 0], R^n): \|\phi\| \leq H\}$$

and for H_1 , there exists $L(H_1) > 0$, with

$$|f|\phi| \leq L(H_1) \text{ when } \|\phi\| \leq H_1.$$

Definition 3.1 : An element $\psi \in C$ is in the ω – limit set of ϕ , say, $\Omega(\phi)$ is defined on $[0, \infty)$ and there is a sequence $\{t_n\}, t_n \rightarrow \infty$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, with $\|x_{t_n}(\phi) - \psi\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ where

$$x_{t_n}(\phi) = x(t_n + \theta, 0, \phi) \text{ for } -r \leq \theta \leq 0.$$

Definiton3.2:

A set $\subset C_H$ is an invariant set if for any $\phi \in Q$, the solution of (3.1), $x(t, 0, \phi)$ is defined on $[0, \infty)$ and $x_t(\phi) \in Q$ for $t \in [0, \infty)$

Lemma 3.1. If $\phi \in C_H$ is such that the solution $x_t(\phi)$ of (3.1) with $x_0(\phi) = \phi$ is defined on $[0, \infty)$ and $\|x_t(\phi)\| \leq H_1$ for $t \in [0, \infty)$, then $\Omega(\phi)$ is a non – empty, compact, invariant set and

$$\text{dist}(x_t(\phi), \Omega(\phi)) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.]$$

Definiton: Stability and Boundedness of Solutions of Delay Differential Equations third order

Lemma3.2.

Let $v(t, \phi): I \times C_H \rightarrow R$ be a continuous functional satisfying a local Lipschitz condition $v(t, 0) = 0$, and such that:

- i. $W_1(|\phi(0)|) \leq v(t, \phi) \leq W_2(\|\phi\|)$ where $W_1(r), W_2(r)$, are wedges
- ii. $v_{(3.1)}(t, \phi) \leq 0$, for $\phi \in C_H$.

Then the Zero solution of (3.1) is uniformly stable . If we define

$$\text{Then } Z = \{\phi \in C_H: v_{(3.1)}(t, \phi) = 0\},$$

then the Zero solution (3.1) is asymptotically stable, provided that the largest invariant set in Z is $Q = \{0\}$

MAIN RESULTS

Theorem:

Suppose that $p(t), q(t) \in C'(I), m \in C'(R)$ and $N \in C(R)$ & these function Satisfy following Condition

- i. $m(0) = 0, \frac{m(x)}{x} \geq A_0 > 0, x \neq 0$
- ii. $m'(x) \leq C$
- iii. $n(0) = 0, \frac{n(y)}{y} \geq q > 0, y \neq 0$
- iv. $0 < A_1 \leq q(t), -L \leq q'(t) \leq 0, t \in I$
- v. $0 < p \leq p(t) \leq L, t \in I$

then every Solation , $x = x(t)$ of

$$x''' + p(t)x'' + q(t)n(x') + m(x(t-r)) = 0 \text{ is uniform bounded and Satisfies}$$

$$x(t) \rightarrow 0, x'(t) \rightarrow 0, x''(t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty \text{ Praided}$$

there exist α Satisfysing $\frac{q}{c} > \alpha > \frac{1}{p}$ such that

$$\text{vi. } \frac{1}{2} p'(t) \leq A_2 < A_1 (q - \alpha c) \text{ } t \in I \text{ \& } r < \min \left[\frac{2A_5}{3LC}, \frac{A_5}{L\alpha C} \right]$$

proof: – we write equation

$$\begin{aligned} x'(t) &= y(t) \\ y'(t) &= z(t) \end{aligned}$$

$$z'(t) = -p(t)z - q(t)z - q(t)n(y) - m(x) + \int_{t-r}^t m'(x(s))y(s) ds$$

→ (1)

it's Lyapanou functional as

$$v(t, x(t), y(t), z(t)) = \int_0^x m(s)ds + \alpha q(t) \int_0^y n(s)ds + \alpha m(x)y + \frac{1}{2} p(t)y^2 + Z \alpha z^2 + yz + \mu_1 \int_{-r}^0 \int_{t+s}^t y^2(\theta) d\theta ds + \mu_2 \int_{-r}^0 \int_{t+s}^t z^2(\theta) d\theta ds$$

→ (2)

we, can also, assume that $0 < A_1 \leq q(t) \leq L$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} q(t) = q_0$$

$$A_1 \leq q_0 \leq L$$

→ (3)

from (1) we write

$$v(t, x(t), y(t), z(t)) = \left[\int_0^x m(s)ds + \alpha q(t) \int_0^y n(s)ds + \alpha m(x)y \right] + \frac{1}{2} [p(t)y^2 + yz + \alpha z^2] + \mu_1 \int_{-r}^0 \int_{t+s}^t y^2(\theta) d\theta + \mu_2 \int_{-r}^0 \int_{t+s}^t z^2(\theta) d\theta ds$$

$$= v_1 + \frac{1}{2} v_2 + \mu_1 \int_{-r}^0 \int_{t+s}^t y^2(\theta) d\theta + \mu_2 \int_{-r}^0 \int_{t+s}^t z^2(\theta) d\theta ds$$

→ (4)

Now , we Consider,

$$v_2 = p(t)y^2 + yz + \alpha z^2$$

$$= p(t) \left[y^2 + \frac{yz}{p(t)} + \frac{\alpha z^2}{p(t)} \right]$$

$$= p(t) \left[y + \frac{z}{2p(t)} \right]^2 + \frac{1}{4p(t)} (4\alpha p(t) - 1)z^2$$

but $\alpha p(t) \geq \alpha p \geq 1$, since, $\alpha > \frac{1}{p}$, α is positive, $4\alpha p(t) - 1$ is positive thus, $A_3 > 0$

$$v_2 \geq \frac{1}{2} A_3 y^2 + \frac{1}{2} A_3 z^2 \rightarrow (5)$$

$$\text{Now, } v_1 = \left[\int_0^x m(s)ds + \alpha q(t) \int_0^y n(s)ds + \alpha m(x)y \right]$$

$$v_1 = [M(s) + \alpha q(t)N(y) + \alpha m(x)y]$$

where, $M(s) = \int_0^x m(s)ds$ & $N(y) = \int_0^y n(s)ds$.

$$v_1 \geq A_1 \left[M(s) + \frac{\alpha}{2} q y^2 + \alpha m(s)y \right]$$

since, $q(t) \geq 1, A_1 > 0, \frac{n(y)}{y} \geq q > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow N(y) &\geq \frac{1}{2} q y^2 \\ &\therefore \frac{1}{2} [2M(x) + \alpha q y^2 + 2 \alpha m(x)y] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[2M(x) + \alpha q y^2 + 2 \alpha m(x) + \frac{\alpha}{q} m^2(x) - \frac{\alpha}{q} m^2(x) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\alpha}{q} (q^2 y^2 + 2 m q y m(x) + m^2(x)) + 2M(x) - \frac{\alpha}{q} m^2(x) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha}{q} (qy + m(x))^2 + \int_0^x [1 - \frac{\alpha}{q} m'(s)] m(s) ds \\ &\geq \int_0^x [1 - \frac{\alpha c}{q}] m(s) ds \\ &\geq \int_0^x A_4 m(s) ds \text{ where } A_4 = 1 - \frac{\alpha c}{q} \\ &\geq A_4 \int_0^x m(s) ds \\ &\geq A_4 M(x) \rightarrow (6) \end{aligned}$$

where, $A_4 = 1 - \frac{\alpha c}{q} > 1 - \frac{(\frac{q}{c})c}{b} = 0$

since, $\frac{m(x)}{x} > A_0 > 0$, we get $v \geq \frac{A_0 A_1 A_2}{2} x^2 \rightarrow (7)$

adding (4), (5), & (7) we have .

$$v(t, x(t)), y(t), z(t) \geq \frac{A_0 A_1 A_2}{2} x^2 + \frac{A_3}{4} y^2 + \frac{A_3}{4} z^2 + \mu_1 \int_{-r}^0 \int_{t+s}^t y^2(\theta) d\theta + \mu_2 \int_{-r}^0 \int_{t+s}^t z^2(\theta) d\theta ds.$$

$$v(t, x(t)), y(t), z(t) \geq D_1 x^2 + D_2 y^2 + D_3 z^2 \geq D_4 (x^2 + y^2 + z^2)$$

where,

$$D_4 = \min\{D_1, D_2, D_3\} \text{ since } \int_{-r}^0 \int_{t+s}^t y^2(\theta) d\theta ds \geq 0 \text{ \& } \int_{-r}^0 \int_{t+s}^t z^2(\theta) d\theta ds \geq 0$$

Hence ,

we check $v(t, x(t)), y(t), z(t)$ satisfies condition (I) of lemma 2.2.

from (1)& (2) we obtain.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} v(t, x_t, y_t, z_t) &= \alpha q'(t)N(y) + \frac{1}{2} p'(t)y^2 \\ &\quad - [q(t) n(y)y - \alpha m'(x)y^2 - \mu r y^2] \\ &\quad - [\alpha p(t) - 1]z^2 + y \int_{t-r}^t m'(x(s))y(s) ds \\ &\quad + \alpha z \int_{t-r}^t m'(x(s))y(s) ds - \mu \int_{t-r}^t y^2(s) ds \rightarrow (8) \end{aligned}$$

Since, $m'(x) \leq c$, using $2|ab| \leq a^2 + b^2$

$$\text{we obtain } \alpha z \int_{t-r}^t m'(x(s))y(s) ds \leq \frac{1}{2} \alpha crz^2 + \frac{1}{2} c \int_{t-r}^t y^2(s) ds$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} L \alpha crz^2 + \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\& y \int_{t-r}^t m'(x(s))y(s) ds \leq c \int_{t-r}^t y^2(s) ds$$

$$\leq Lc \int_{t-r}^t y^2(s) ds$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} v(t, x_t, y_t, z_t) &\leq \alpha q'(t)N(y) + \frac{1}{2} p'(t)y^2 \\ &\quad - [q(t) n(y)y - \alpha m'(x)y^2 - \mu r y^2] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} [2(\alpha (p(t) - Larc)z^2 + [\frac{3}{2} Lc - \mu] \int_{t-r}^t y^2(s) ds) \rightarrow (9) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{If } y = 0 \text{ then } q(t), n(y)y - \alpha m'(x)y^2 - \mu r y^2 = 0$$

we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 [q(t) n(y)y^{-\alpha} m'(x)y^2 - \mu ry^2] &= \left[q(t) \frac{n(y)}{y} - \alpha m'(x) - \mu r \right] y^2 \\
 &\geq [q q(t) - \alpha c - \mu r] y^2 \\
 = [q(t)q^{-\alpha} c] y^2 - \mu ry^2 &\geq A_1 [q - \alpha c - A_1^{-1} \mu r] y^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{1}{2} p'(t) y^2 - [q(t) n(y)y^{-\alpha} m'(x)y^2 - \mu ry^2] \\
 &\leq \left[\frac{1}{2} p'(t) - A_1 (q - \alpha c - A_1^{-1} \mu r) \right] y^2 \\
 &\leq [A_2 - A_1 (q - \alpha c) + \mu r] y^2 \\
 \leq -[A_5 - \mu r] y^2 &\rightarrow (10)
 \end{aligned}$$

where, $A_5 = A_1 [q - \alpha c] - A_2 > 0$ by (4)

according (5), $p(t) \geq \alpha p > 1$

thus $[2(\alpha (p(t) - 1) - L \alpha rc) z^2] \geq (A_6 - L \alpha cr) z^2 \rightarrow (11)$ where, $A_6 = \alpha p - 1 > 0$

Substitute (10), (11) into (9), also, $\mu = \frac{3}{2} LC$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{we get, } \frac{d}{dt} v(t, x(t), y(t), z(t)) &\leq \alpha q'(t) \int_0^y n(s) ds - \left(A_5 - \frac{3}{2} Lcr \right) y^2 \\
 &\quad - (A_6 - L \alpha cr) z^2 \rightarrow (12)
 \end{aligned}$$

Since, $q'(t) \leq 0$ & $\int_0^y n(s) ds \geq 0$, $\alpha q'(t) \int_0^y n(s) ds \leq 0$

since in (6), $M(x) + \alpha N(y) + \alpha m(x)y \geq A_4 M(x) \geq 0$

Thus,

$$\frac{d}{dt} v(t, x(t), y(t), z(t)) \leq -\left(A_5 - \frac{3}{2} Lcr \right) y^2 - (A_6 - L \alpha cr) z^2$$

$$\text{if } r < \min \left[\frac{2A_5}{3LC}, \frac{A_5}{L \alpha C} \right]$$

$$\text{we have, } \frac{d}{dt} v(t, x(t), y(t), z(t)) \leq -\beta (y^2 + z^2), \beta > 0$$

$$\text{by } \frac{d}{dt} v(t, x(t), y(t), z(t)) = 0$$

system (1) we can easily obtain

$x = y = z = 0$, Condition lemma (3.2) are satisfied

\therefore proof is complete.

CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we considered the third order non-autonomous non-linear differential equations with delays. The differential equations we have discussed in this paper in which $p(t)$, $q(t)$ are constants have been studied by several authors. They obtained criteria which ensure the stability of solutions. Sadek establishes conditions under which all solutions of the non-autonomous equation tend to the zero solution as $t \rightarrow \infty$. These results are now extended by considering the semi-invariant set of a related non-autonomous system.

REFERENCES

1. Antosiewicz, H.A. On nonlinear differential equations of the second order with integrable forcing term. J. London Math. Soc.30 (1955), 64-67.
2. G. Makay. On the asymptotic stability of the solutions of functional differential equations with infinite delay. J. Diff. Eqs., 108(1994), 139-151.
3. J.K.Hale. Theory of Functional Differential Equations. Springer-Verlag, New York. (1977).
4. Omeike, M.O. Further results on global stability of third-order nonlinear differential equations, Nonlinear Analysis 67 (2007), 3394-3400.
5. Sadek, A.I. Stability and boundedness of a kind of third-order delay differential system, Applied Mathematics Letters 16(2003), 657-662.
6. Y. Qin, Y. Liu, L. Wang: stability of Motion for Dyanamics systems with Delay academic press, Beijing. (1966).

7. Y.Zhu: On stability, boundedness and existence of periodic solution of a kind of third-order nonlinear delay differential system. Ann. Of Diff. Eqs. 8:249-259(1992)
8. Swick, K. On the boundedness and the stability of solutions of some non-autonomous differential equations of the third order, J. London Math. Soc 44(1969), 347-359.
9. T.A. Burton. Volterra Integral and Differential Equations. Academic Press New York. (1983)
10. T.A. Burton. Stability and Periodic Solutions of Ordinary and Functional Differential Equations. Academic press. (1985)
11. Tunc.C. Boundedness of solutions of a third-order nonlinear differential equations, JIPAM.J. Inequal. Pune appl. Math. 6 (2005),1-6.
12. V. Kelmanovskii, A. Myshkis. Introduction to the Theory and Applications of Functional Differential Equations. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht. (1999)
13. Zhu, Y.F. On stability, boundedness and existence of periodic solution of a kind of third-order nonlinear delay differential system, Ann. Differential Equations, 8 (1992),249-259.
14. P.Shekhar, V.Dharmaiah , G.Mahadevi Stability and Boundedness Of Solutions Of Nonlinear Delay Differential Equations Of Third –Order, Journal of mathematics (M/A 2013),09-13
15. Sadek, A.I.On the stability of solutions of some non-autonomous delay differential equations of the third order. Asymptotic Analysis 43(2005), 1-7.
16. S. Li, L. Wen. Functional Differential Equations. Human Science and Technology Press. (1987)

Source of Support: None Declared
Conflict of Interest: None Declared