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#### Abstract

Rainfall, its pattern and its analysis is of interest to many researchers. To study the rainfall pattern and its various parameters data of daily rainfall of last 30 years is collected. Analysis of rainfall data depends on its distribution pattern hence the appropriate distribution is fitted for the total rainfall in a season (June to September), in weeks and in months; goodness of fit test is used to verify whether the fitted distribution is good fit or not. Using this fitted distribution the probabilities of receiving different rainfall for different periods are computed. To know whether there is significant difference in actual rainfall and normal rainfall in the months of June, July, August, and September and in a season (June to September) statistical tests are applied. Graphical representation of the rainfall in the month of September shows change in pattern after 1989; the significance of rainfall before and after 1989 is tested using statistical test. The analysis of weekly total rainfall with probability of dry spell and probabilities of weekly rainfall exceeding specific rainfall are computed.
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## INTRODUCTION

Indian agriculture largely depends on rainfall. Rainfall is one of the principal controlling elements in agriculture. The success of crop establishment and growth largely depends on availability of adequate rainfall in country like India. Several studies have been conducted in India and abroad on rainfall analysis. R. Srikanthan and B. J. Stewart (1991) analyzes the Australian rainfall data; they have used different statistical tests like Mann-Kendall rank correlation, Spearman rank correlation, Cumulative sum test and so on to detect the linear trend and to check whether there is change in the mean value. For weekly rainfall data two and three parameter distributions are studied by V.N. Sharda and P. K. Das (2005). They have used Anderson Darling test to test goodness of fit. Singh, Adikari and Garg (2002), Chin Yu Lee (2005), Jamaludin Suhaila and Abdul Aziz Jemain (2007) studied several types of exponential distribution and proposed mixed weibull and mixed exponential distribution. Mohita Anand Sharma and Jai Bhagwan Singh (2010) also studied several distributions and proposed a method to find out the best of the fitted distributions.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

Rainy season in India is from June to September. The daily rainfall data of Aurangabad station of last 30 years 19812010 was collected from meteorological observatory of Agricultural Department. The total rainfall per week for 18 weeks also total rainfall per month for the months of June, July, August and September and in seasons (June to

September) is calculated. To study instability in rainfall coefficient of variation is studied. Coefficient of skewness is also studied, since skewness to the left indicates higher probability of failure of rainfall which is riskier situation than skewness to the right. However, the coefficient of skewness does not throw much light when the distribution has no peak or has several peaks or is generally flat. Normal distribution is fitted to the data. Kolmogorov Smirnov test is applied to test goodness of fit. While analyzing the data there are certain question such as whether the amount of rainfall is significantly same as the normal rainfall? This is verified using one sample $t$ test. Another question is - is the total amount of rainfall is same or is it decreased or increased significantly in the last 10 years as compared to last 30 years? This is verified using two sample $t$ test.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The summary of Statistics- mean Standard deviation, coefficient of skewness, coefficient of variation at Aurangabad, Maharashtra, India is given in Table 1.

| Table 1: Summary statistics of rainfall (1981- 2011) at Aurangabad station |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study Period | Mean | Standard Deviation | *Skewness Coefficient | Coefficient of variation |
| Season | 794.00 | 211.06 | 3.22 | 32.32 |
| June | 135.01 | 88.80 | 2.50 | 65.77 |
| July | 161.43 | 70.10 | 2.74 | 43.43 |
| August | 151.74 | 80.80 | 2.30 | 53.25 |
| September | 169.84 | 131.36 | 2.04 | 77.35 |
| 1st week(2 to 8 June) | 17.11 | 26.24 | 1.48 | 153.34 |
| 2nd week(9 to 15 June) | 49.06 | 52.02 | 1.87 | 106.03 |
| 3rd week(16 to 22 June) | 25.45 | 20.95 | 1.59 | 82.30 |
| 4th week(23 to 29 June) | 32.40 | 29.54 | 1.46 | 91.16 |
| 5th week(30 June to 6 July) | 31.57 | 29.98 | 1.08 | 94.96 |
| 6th week( 7 to 13 July) | 27.24 | 28.51 | 1.35 | 104.64 |
| 7th week(14 to 20 July) | 33.83 | 30.80 | 1.41 | 91.03 |
| 8th week(21 to 27 July) | 31.42 | 30.18 | 1.31 | 96.04 |
| 9th week(28 July to 3 Aug) | 34.44 | 41.34 | 1.50 | 120.03 |
| 10th week(4 to 10 Aug) | 41.66 | 43.37 | 1.30 | 104.11 |
| 11th week(11 to 17 Aug) | 51.31 | 104.17 | 1.10 | 203.01 |
| 12th week(18 to 24 Aug) | 29.68 | 26.60 | 1.60 | 89.62 |
| 13th week(25 to 31 Aug) | 33.31 | 40.45 | 1.34 | 121.44 |
| 14th week(1 to 7 Sep) | 49.37 | 64.29 | 1.54 | 130.24 |
| 15th week( 8 to 14 Sep) | 25.38 | 27.28 | 1.37 | 107.49 |
| 16th week (15 to 21 Sep.) | 30.97 | 44.82 | 1.16 | 144.73 |
| 17th week (22 to 28 Sep.) | 42.37 | 39.60 | 93.46 |  |

*The coefficient of skewness is calculated on the basis of actual observations for every year and then the average of all 30 years is given in Table 1.


Figure 1: Rainfall at Aurangabad (1981-2011) for the month of June


Figure 2: Rainfall at Aurangabad (1981-2011) for the month of July


Figure 3: Rainfall at Aurangabad (1981-2011) for the month of August


Figure 4: Rainfall at Aurangabad (1981-2010) for the month of September
Graphical representation of the total rainfall (figure 1-4) in the month of September indicates that there is noticeable change in the rainfall pattern from year 1990. The coefficient of variation of rainfall from 1981 to 1989 is $94.29 \%$ with average rainfall 206.844 mm and for the period 1990 to 2010 it is $60.09 \%$ with average rainfall 153.19 mm this shows that though the rainfall after year 1989 is more consistent there is noticeable decrease of rainfall in the month of September. To check the significance of the equality in the total amount of rainfall till 1989 and after 1989 two sample $t$ test ( $H_{0}: \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}$ ) is generally used but for rainfall data since the variation is large it is necessary to validate the equal variance assumption; to check the equal variance assumption F test is used.
The output using MINITAB 14 is given below:
F-Test (normal distribution)
Test statistic $=0.11, \mathrm{p}$-value $=0.000$
It indicates that there is significant difference in variances of the total amount of rainfall till 1989 and after 1989.
Therefore two sampled $t$ test cannot be used to test $H_{0}: \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}$ this is referred as Behrens-Fisher problem. In this situation the Welch approximate $t$ test is used. This test indicates that the difference in means for 1981-1989 and after 1989 (1990-2010) is not significant. To check the significance of rainfall as compared to the normal rainfall in the months of June (134 mm), July (169.3), August (156.5) and September (163.5) one sample $t$ test is used to apply $t$ test the data is to follow normal distribution. To check the normality, normal probability plots are used; the normal probability plot for the month of July is given in fig. 5


Figure 5: Normal probability plot of the month of July
To check the significance of expected normal rainfall one sample $t$ test using MINITAB software is executed, the output of the test is given below:
One-Sample T: july
Test of $\mathrm{mu}=169.3 \mathrm{vs}$ not $=169.3$
Table 1:

| Variable | N | Mean | St Dev | SE Mean | $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ | T | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July | 29 | 144.334 | 72.869 | 13.531 | $(116.616,172.052)$ | -1.85 | 0.076 |

The output indicates that the expected normal rainfall in the month of July is not significantly different than 169.3. The same procedure is followed for the months of June, August and September and it is observed that the actual rainfall is same as the expected rainfall.
Fitting of Normal Distribution
Normal distribution is fitted to the data; the estimated parameters are given in the following Table 2. Kolmogorov Smirnov test is used to test the goodness of fit of the fitted distribution; value of Kolmogorov Smirnov test statistic is also listed in the Table 2.

Table 2: Parameters of the fitted Normal distribution

| Period |  | Parameters | Kolmogorov Smirnov | Rainfall > | Probability of column (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | $\mu(2)$ | $\sigma^{2}$ (3) | test Statistic (4) | (5) | (6) |
| Season | 658.435 | 208.961 | 0.027 | 600 mm | 0.6101 |
| June | 132.185 | 90.5768 | 0.0821 | 110 mm | 0.5535 |
| July | 154.666 | 73.462 | 0.0019 | 140 mm | 0.6815 |
| August | 152.187 | 79.4286 | 0.0304 | 126 mm | 0.6573 |
| September | 179.943 | 140.444 | 0.0049 | 145 mm | 0.6652 |
| 1st week(2 to 8 June) | 13.434 | 19.1807 | 0.0496 | 10 mm | 0.571 |
| 2nd week(9 to 15 June) | 46.279 | 68.3123 | 0.0297 | 10 mm | 0.7023 |
| 3 rd week( 16 to 22 June) | 26.8157 | 31.182 | 0.0313 | 10 mm | 0.7052 |
| 4th week( 23 to 29 June) | 37.0397 | 39.0919 | 0.0332 | 10 mm | 0.7554 |
| 5th week(30 June to 6 July) | 28.274 | 32.0731 | 0.0309 | 10 mm | 0.7156 |
| 6 th week( 7 to 13 July) | 19.5597 | 20.8614 | 0.0329 | 10 mm | 0.6766 |
| 7 th week(14 to 20 July) | 36.261 | 33.9479 | 0.0331 | 10 mm | 0.7804 |
| 8 th week(21 to 27 July) | 39.4507 | 36.9448 | 0.0216 | 10 mm | 0.7873 |
| 9th week(28 July to 3 Aug) | 32.8357 | 34.0207 | 0.0327 | 10 mm | 0.749 |
| 10th week(4 to 10 Aug) | 50.4293 | 52.4409 | 0.0323 | 10 mm | 0.7796 |
| 11th week(11 to 17 Aug) | 39.7507 | 39.2918 | 0.0323 | 10 mm | 0.7755 |
| 12th week(18 to 24 Aug) | 26.663 | 31.6515 | 0.022 | 10 mm | 0.7007 |
| 13th week( 25 to 31 Aug) | 31.267 | 38.1478 | 0.0257 | 10 mm | 0.7114 |
| 14th week( 1 to 7 Sep ) | 54.8787 | 71.8919 | 0.0055 | 10 mm | 0.7338 |
| 15th week( 8 to 14 Sep) | 38.4803 | 50.8272 | 0.0021 | 10 mm | 0.7124 |
| 16th week ( 15 to 21 Sep.) | 42.7153 | 59.356 | 0.0131 | 10 mm | 0.7092 |
| 17th week (22 to 28 Sep.) | 46.5667 | 43.8301 | 0.0184 | 10 mm | 0.7979 |


| 18th week (29 Sep. to 5 Oct.) | 48.4047 | 90.3428 | 0.0239 | 10 mm | 0.6646 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Fitted distributions can be used to estimate probabilities like normal seasonal rainfall of Aurangabad is 752 mm hence one can compute probability of seasonal rainfall exceeding $600 \mathrm{~mm}, 650 \mathrm{~mm}$ and so on or vice versa rainfall less than any value. Some such specific probabilities of rainfall exceeding the rainfall given in column 5 are listed in column 6 of Table 2.

Table 3: Summary Statistics of Weekly Rainfall

| Seasonal week (1) | Period (2) | Avg. No. of rainy days (3) | Average rainfall in mm (4) | Probability of dry spell (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 to 8 June | 1 | 13.88 | 0.40 |
| 2 | 9 to 15 June | 3 | 45.70 | 0.33 |
| 3 | 16 to 22 June | 3 | 27.12 | 0.27 |
| 4 | 23 to 29 June | 3 | 37.71 | 0.17 |
| 5 | 30 June to 6 July | 3 | 26.70 | 0.13 |
| 6 | 7 to 13 July | 3 | 18.83 | 0.13 |
| 7 | 14 to 20 July | 4 | 37.47 | 0.10 |
| 8 | 21 to 27 July | 4 | 38.49 | 0.00 |
| 9 | 28 July to 3 Aug. | 4 | 33.12 | 0.20 |
| 10 | 4 to 10 Aug. | 4 | 49.92 | 0.07 |
| 11 | 11 to 17 Aug. | 4 | 40.77 | 0.03 |
| 12 | 18 to 24 Aug. | 3 | 26.61 | 0.13 |
| 13 | 25 to 31 Aug. | 3 | 32.31 | 0.33 |
| 14 | 1 to 7 Sep. | 3 | 54.51 | 0.40 |
| 15 | 8 to 14 Sep. | 3 | 36.23 | 0.23 |
| 16 | 15 to 21 Sep. | 2 | 40.34 | 0.20 |
| 17 | 22 to 28 Sep. | 3 | 47.76 | 0.37 |
| 18 | 29 Sep. to 5 Oct. | 2 | 48.67 | 0.33 |

In table 3 the probabilities are computed using the fitted normal distribution with corresponding parameters as enlisted in column 2 and 3 of Table 2. The distribution of rainfall in corresponding weeks becomes clearer from the average number of rainy days and total rainfall during the period given in table 3 they gives idea of rainfall or availability of water for the crops during corresponding period.

## CONCLUSION

i. The monthly rainfall of June, July, August and September do not differ significantly from specified average monthly rainfall of Aurangabad station.
ii. From the graphical representation given in Fig. 4 there is a question whether the rainfall pattern changes after 89? To clarify this doubt Welch approximate $t$ test is used; but there is no significant change in monthly rainfall before 89 and after 89 .
iii. From table 2 , probability of getting 600 mm rainfall in a season is 0.6101 . Probability of getting 110 mm , $140 \mathrm{~mm}, 126 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 145 mm rainfall in the month of June, July, August and September are 0.5535, 0.6815, 0.6573 and 0.6652 respectively. One can find the probabilities of required rainfall for any period and minimum rainfall required for the crop in corresponding period and plan accordingly.
iv. From Table 3 from seasonal week 2 ( 9 to 15 June ) the chance of receiving minimum 10 mm rainfall is $73 \%$ and in subsequent weeks till $10^{\text {th }}$ seasonal week the chances are more than $70 \%$. That is for a period of 8 weeks the chances of assured rainfall are higher than $70 \%$ in subsequent two weeks the chances are more than $60 \%$ and the rainfall values more than $60 \%$ probability are considered as reliable for cropping, also the probability of dry spell is less during this period. From the last week of August there are comparatively less chances of receiving rainfall exceeding 10 mm and the probability of dry spell is also increasing in the subsequent weeks. Hence as per requirement of the crop, the various agricultural operations should be planned to get maximum yield.
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